

Homepage: https://jead.um.ac.ir



**Research Article** Vol. 38, No. 4, Winter 2025, p. 351-370

# A Data Mining Approach to Consumers' Choice of Retail Market: The Case of Urban Retail Markets in Iran

H. Hashemzadeh<sup>1</sup>, N. Yousefian<sup>2</sup>, S. Esfandiari Bahraseman<sup>2</sup>, A. Karbasi<sup>10</sup><sup>3</sup>, A. Firoozzare<sup>64\*</sup>

1, 2, 3 and 4- M.Sc in Agricultural Economics, Ph.D. Student, Professor and Assistant Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Agriculture, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran, respectively. (\*- Corresponding Author Email: firooz@um.ac.ir)

Received:	ceived: 20-05-2024	How to cite this article:
Revised:	evised: 06-07-2024	Hashemzadeh, H., Yousefian, N., Esfandiari Bahraseman, S., Karbasi, A., & Firoozzar
Accepted:	cepted: 08-07-2024	A. (2025). A data mining approach to consumers' choice of retail market: The case
Available Online:	Online: 08-07-2024	urban retail markets in Iran. <i>Journal of Agricultural Economics &amp; Development</i> , 38(4)
Received: Revised: Accepted: Available Online:	ceived: 20-05-2024 evised: 06-07-2024 cepted: 08-07-2024 Online: 08-07-2024	Hashemzadeh, H., Yousefian, N., Esfandiari Bahraseman, S., Karbasi, A., & Firoozza A. (2025). A data mining approach to consumers' choice of retail market: The case urban retail markets in Iran. <i>Journal of Agricultural Economics &amp; Development, 38</i> 351-370. https://doi.org/10.22067/jead.2024.88151.1269

#### Abstract

Urban retail markets are state-owned retail markets that were recently established in Iran to increase the welfare of consumers and producers. To achieve this goal and expand its presence in the Iranian retail sector, it is essential to gain a comprehensive understanding of consumer behavior within these markets. This study examines the various socio-economic factors influencing consumers' decisions in the retail market by using the C4.5 algorithm. The data were collected using a random sampling method through a survey of 189 consumers, focusing on the population of Mashhad, Iran, during 2019-2020. Results revealed that awareness of available discounts significantly drives consumer choices in urban retail markets. Despite existing discounts, awareness among consumers remains low, suggesting a need to review promotional strategies within the marketing mix. The study also identifies previous purchases from urban markets, household income, and education as influential factors. Findings offer valuable insights for policymakers, market strategists, and stakeholders seeking to enhance the effectiveness of local retail markets in Iran. By leveraging insights into consumer behavior and market dynamics, these markets can thrive, benefiting Iran's retail sector and overall economy. Following the study, recommendations such as enhanced promotional campaigns, education-oriented strategies, loyalty programs, collaborations with local producers, and inclusive marketing policies was made aim to improve access for all consumers to urban retail markets.

Keywords: Consumer behavior, Data mining, Decision tree, Machine learning



©2024 The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

https://doi.org/10.22067/jead.2024.85975.1237

# Introduction

By identifying the critical factors that influence buyers' purchasing behaviors, marketers can craft strategies that align with these determinants, thereby securing their competitive edge in the market (Shamsher, 2016). Consumer behavior has remained a focal point in the discourse on retail marketing and decision-making for more than 70 years (Rahim *et al.*, 2021; Tian *et al.*, 2021).

Understanding consumer behavior involves delving into the psychological, social, and cultural factors that influence how individuals make purchasing decisions. Initially emerging specialized sub-discipline as a within marketing, the study of consumer behavior has consistently shown that purchasing decisions are influenced by a complex interplay of sociological and psychological factors (Applebaum, 1951; Dominici et al., 2021; et al.. Donoghue 2021). Furthermore. contemporary research in this field views consumer behavior as an interdisciplinary science, integrating insights from social sociology, anthropology, psychology, ethnography, marketing, and economics, particularly behavioral economics (Razu & Roy, 2019).

In recent years, Iran's retail industry has undergone a dramatic transformation, marked by the growing prominence of organized retail. This shift has brought profound changes within the sector (Shamsher, 2016; Gauri et al., 2021). These changes include the proliferation of shopping supermarkets, malls. and hypermarkets, which have altered traditional shopping patterns and consumer expectations. The rise of organized retail in Iran has been accompanied by an increase in consumer access to a wider variety of products and brands, more competitive pricing, and enhanced shopping experiences. This evolution has necessitated that retailers in Iran and similar markets pay closer attention to consumer behavior to remain competitive.

Iran's retail sector has evolved into an oligopoly, dominated by a few corporations

operating chains of hypermarkets that supply most grocery products (Jofreh, 2013). Recently, state-operated urban retail markets have entered the Iranian market. State-owned retail markets were common in many countries, such as China, where urban consumers were limited to shopping at state-run establishments until 1978 (Veeck & Veeck, 2000). Although these markets are often criticized for inefficiencies (Hingley *et al.*, 2009), they must improve their performance to compete in a competitive environment.

The establishment of state-operated urban retail markets in Iran aims to ensure a direct and timely supply of fruits, vegetables, meat, poultry, fish, detergents, and sanitizers in the domestic market; support consumer rights; suitable and effective pricing; establish organize and regulate food markets; and facilitate the preparation and distribution of products by creating a direct connection between producers and consumers (Golriz Ziaie et al., 2015). Considering these factors, promoting shopping in these markets enhances consumer welfare. Increasing the market share of urban markets can also lead to greater profits for producers, as these markets provide a platform for producers to sell their goods and reduce marketing margins (Thakur et al., 2023). In this regard, marketers of urban retail markets must gain comprehensive knowledge about the factors that determine consumers' choice of retail market and how to influence their purchasing decisions (Liyanage et al., 2020; Thakur *et al.*, 2023).

By understanding consumer preferences, behaviors, and the socio-economic variables that impact shopping habits, marketers can develop strategies that attract more customers to these markets. Several studies have looked for factors that influence consumers' choice of retail markets. For instance, Laine (2014) and Bhatti *et al.* (2015) identified that a store's product selection, previous experience, and location are crucial factors affecting consumers' retail market choices. Zulqarnain *et al.* (2015) examined factors influencing consumers' choice of retail stores, focusing particularly on

grocery stores. Pandey & Kaur (2018) conducted a study comparing retail marketing between rural and urban regions. Their findings underscored that urban as well as rural retail markets need to be addressed separately by any retail marketer because both regions offer several opportunities. Rasheed et al. (2018) found that if the store atmosphere is neat, the point of purchase display is attractive, promotional activities are influencing and payment facilities are provided then these will promote more impulse buying. Nguyen (2019) identified four factors influencing supermarket choice that includes location, perception of prices and products, employee attitudes, and references. Manuere (2023) investigated factors affecting customers' choice of supermarkets for grocery shopping in Chinhoyi town. The results of the study revealed that the location of the supermarket, children's play areas and parking areas are likely to influence the customers' choice of a supermarket.

The previous studies primarily focus on global contexts, overlooking specific insights crucial for the Iranian market. This gap hinders the development of customized strategies that align with local consumer preferences and socioeconomic dynamics. Moreover, the profound influence of culture on consumer behavior means that findings from other studies may not be applicable to Iran. This underscores the critical need for localized research to fill this gap and guide effective marketing strategies. The current study addresses these challenges by examining how socioeconomic variables impact consumer purchasing decisions in Iranian urban retail markets. Through the innovative application of machine learning algorithms for consumer classification, the study introduces a unique analytical framework tailored to predict and understand consumer behavior within Iranian urban retail markets. This approach aims not only to uncover the most influential socioeconomic factors shaping consumer choices but also to equip marketers with practical insights to enhance their ability to attract buyers to urban retail markets. In doing so, the study contributes to advancing our understanding of consumer behavior in a culturally specific context and introduces a novel method for consumer classification that can be adapted for similar studies.

### **Materials and Methods**

### **Data Mining**

Data mining is the investigation and examination of large amounts of data to find significant patterns and rules (Berry & Linoff, 2000). It tries to discover potentially useful, interesting, and previously unknown patterns from a large collection of data (Ram, 2022). Classification is one of the main goals associated with data mining. It is the characteristic knowledge that displays the shared properties of the same type of items and the shared characteristics of differences between different items (Vindigni et al., 2022). It aims to retrieve rules from the database. The most popular multidimensional classification technique is decision tree (Moitra et al., 2021; Quinlan, 2014), which is used in this survey.

### **Decision Tree**

Decision trees (DT) are powerful tools that have been widely used to build classification models (Kotsiantis, 2013; Ooi et al., 2017). The algorithm created by a DT can be turned into multiple IF-THEN rules that display the relationship between output and input traits. A DT is made up of one root node, a few internal nodes, and numerous leaf nodes. The DT begins with the root node. The internal nodes join the leaf and root nodes. Each leaf node is equipped with a class label. The routes leading from the root node to the leaf nodes show the classification rules (Dev & Eden, 2019; Meng et al., 2020). The advantages of using DT compared with other methods are (Kotu & Deshpande, 2018; Nisbet et al., 2018):

- DT is more accurate compared with other data mining methods.
- It can be used for a wide range of data types, including continuous and discrete data.
- Compared with other classification methods, it requires less time.
- Extracted rules are simple to understand

and interpret.

Commonly utilized DT algorithms include the CART, Iterative Dichotomizer (ID3), and C4.5 algorithms (Barh, 2020). All the classification methods have a similar structure, to create a high-quality tree with a low error rate. Most of them use top-down greedy search to traverse the possible spaces of a DT. However, the majority of them have different branching and branch-cutting techniques (Han et al., 2022). Developed by Quinlan (1996), C4.5 is an improved version of ID3 that uses the information gain ratio as the standard for selecting attributes rather than information gain. Like the CART algorithm, C4.5 considers each node as recursive and chooses the optimal part. This trend will continue until there are no optimal parts left. There are two main advantages associated with the C4.5 algorithm. First, it can handle continuous attributes better than the CART algorithm (not limited to binary attributes): second, the overfitting issue that exists with the ID3 technique can be resolved using the C4.5 approach (Zaki & Meira, 2020; Sun et al., 2007). The process of forming a decision tree and selecting an attribute is described below (Sugumaran & Ramachandran, 2007: Kumar et al., 2014):

- 1- A collection of available features is the input of the algorithm, and DT is the output of it.
- 2- Leaf nodes of the DT represent the class labels, and other nodes represent classified classes.
- 3- The tree's branches stand in for each predictive value of the feature node they originate from.
- 4- Starting at the tree's root and proceeding through it until one reaches a leaf node (which offers a categorization of the instance), the DT can be used to categorize feature vectors.
- 5- Using the right estimation criteria, one can choose the most beneficial feature for classification at each decision node in the DT. The ideas of entropy reduction and information gain—discussed in the following subsection—are applied in the criteria used to determine the optimal

feature.

In the C4.5 algorithm, the decision tree is built from top to bottom. This technique uses information gain to determine which attribute should be utilized to categorize the current subset of data. Then, to choose the best alternative for the next node, the same process takes place for the data of each branch (Cherfi et al., 2018). 'Information gain' is the anticipated loss of entropy owing to portioning the samples according to a specific attribute, while 'Entropy' describes the impurity of an arbitrary collection of examples. Increasing information lessens uncertainty. Entropies of the initial system and the system after information is added, are compared in information gain. Information gain (S, A) of a feature A relative to a collection of examples S is defined by the following formula (Reddy & Chittineni, 2021; Lintang et al., 2022):

Gain(S,A)

$$\equiv \text{Entropy}(S) \tag{1}$$
$$-\sum v \in Value(A) \frac{|Sv|}{S} \text{Entropy}(S_v)$$

Where *Values* (*A*) refers to the collection of all potential values for *A* attribute, and corresponding  $S_v$  designates the subset of *S* for which feature *A* has value *v*. *S* stands for the original collection's entropy in the equation above, and *A* is the expected value of entropy. The entropies belonging to each subset Sv are added together to get the expected entropy denoted by the word *A*. *Gain* (*S*,*A*) is the expected depletion in entropy as a result of the known value of attribute *A*. Entropy is:

$$Entropy(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} -p_i \log_2 p_i \tag{2}$$

Where  $P_i$  is the proportion of S belonging to class 'i' (Kumar *et al.*, 2014). The present study used the J48 algorithm (equal to the C4.5 algorithm in WEKA software) for learning the decision tree.

# Goodness-of-fit of decision-tree models

The performance of the decision tree can be measured by indexes below:

- True Positive Rate
- False Positive Rate
- Precision
- Recall

### • F amount

The True Positive Rate (TPR) criterion, also called the Hit Rate, is the ratio of buyers who are correctly classified in each group and is calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\text{Number of consumers correctly classified}}{\text{Total number of consumers}}$$
(3)

False Positive Rate represents the ratio of buyers who are classified incorrectly by DT and is calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\text{FP Rate}}{\text{Number of consumers incorrectly classified}}$$

$$Total number of consumers (4)$$

Total number of consumers The measures of Precision and Recall are also calculated with the following formulas:

$$\frac{\Pr_{\text{TP rate}}}{\Pr_{\text{rate}+\text{FP rate}}} \quad \text{Recall} = \text{TP rate}$$
(5)

The index that combines FPR and TPR is the harmonic mean of Precision and Recall and is calculated as below (Walkinshaw, 2013):

$$F - Measure = 2 \times Precision \times Recall$$
(6)

Precision+Recall

Cohen's kappa ( $\kappa$ ) statistic is a chancecorrected method for measuring agreement (rather than association) among raters. It answers the question of: What percentage of values not expected to be in agreement (by chance) are in agreement? The formula of Kappa is:

$$\widehat{K} = \frac{f_0 - f_E}{N - f_E} \tag{7}$$

Where  $f_0$  is the relative observed agreement among raters,  $f_E$  is the hypothetical probability of chance agreement, and *N* is the total number of observations (Cohen, 1960). The Kappa result can be interpreted as follows: values  $\leq 0$ denote no agreement, 0.01-0.20 indicate no to slight agreement, 0.21-0.40 indicate fair agreement, 0.41- 0.60 indicate the moderate agreement, 0.61-0.80 indicate substantial agreement and 0.81-1.00 denote almost perfect agreement (McHugh, 2012).

#### Data

Examining urban retail markets in Mashhad is particularly significant due to the city's dual role as a major hub for both domestic residents and international tourists. With millions of visitors annually, Mashhad's retail sector experiences unique dynamics influenced by diverse consumer behaviors shaped by cultural, social, and economic factors specific to the region. In this context, a questionnaire was designed to identify and understand the socioeconomic factors that impact consumers' choices in retail markets. Initially, pertinent literature was reviewed to select the most relevant socio-economic variables affecting consumer behavior. Following this, the questionnaire was refined through focus group discussions to comprehensively capture the nuances of these factors in Mashhad's retail markets. By conducting a pre-study, the sample size was determined, and necessary tests to ensure its validity and reliability were performed. In this regard, 30 questionnaires were filled out. It should be noted that the data were collected in 2019-۲۰۲۰. The results of this sample showed that the variance of the studied attribute, which was calculated based on the probability of each of the dependent variable groups, is equal to 0.238. The current study employed a simple random sampling method to ensure equal opportunity for every individual in the studied population to be selected and to prevent bias in sample selection. Furthermore, the sample size of 189 observations was determined based on Equation 10.

$$n = \frac{Z^2 \delta_i^2}{d^2} \tag{8}$$

When Z is the amount of normal variable with  $1-\alpha$  confidence level (in the present study 95% confidence level is considered), *d* is the margin of error and  $\delta_i^2$  stands for the variance of the studied attribute. Prior to conducting the survey, the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad granted ethics approval. All the participants in the survey were informed regarding the aim of this study and were asked to answer in an honest manner. They were assured regarding the confidentiality of their response, the survey's scientific intent, and their privacy. All the participants were responsible for the shopping in their families and were older than 18 years old.

#### **Result and Discussion**

### **Descriptive statistics**

To discover effective parameters and factors

affecting the selection of urban retail markets by consumers, this study used the C4.5 algorithm. Table 1 shows the variables used in this study.

Table 1- Description of variables in the study								
Variables	Explanation							
Priority in purchase (decision variable)	purchase from other shops=1; purchase from urban market=2							
Gender	Female=0; Male=1							
Age	Less than $40=1$ ; More than $40=2$							
Ieb	Housekeeper, Unemployed, Retired=1; Self-employed=2; Employment in							
300	public and private sector jobs=3							
Education	Less than university education =1; University education=2							
Distance from urban market	Near=1; Far=2							
Previous purchase from urban Markets	No=0; Yes=1							
Existence of discounts and awareness of that in the urban markets	No=0; Yes=1							
Household income per month	Less than 357 dollars =1; Between 357 and 655 dollars = 2; More than 655 dollars = 3							

According to Table 1, effective socioeconomic variables in the selection of urban retail markets include gender, age, job, education, distance from the urban markets, previous purchases from the urban markets, awareness of the discounts in the urban markets, and household income.

Fig. 1 provides an overall illustration of the

sample. It is made up of nine different figures, each of which belongs to a different variable. On the left side of each picture are those who coded with the least number (0 or 1), and on the right side are those with higher codes. Those with blue colors have prioritized purchasing from other markets over urban markets.



Figure 1- The relation between the independent variables and the purchasing from the urban markets or other shop

Table 2- Descriptive analysis of variables									
Variable	Decision group variable	Group	Percentage						
	1	0	77.4						
	1	1	22.6						
Gender	2	0	66.7						
Gender	2	1	33.3						
	Total	0	73.1						
	Total	1	26.9						
	1	1	62.9						
	1	2	37.1						
A go	2	1	59.5						
Age	Z	2	40.5						
	Total	1	61.5						
	Total	2	38.5						
		1	53.2						
	1	2	21.0						
		3	25.8						
		1	40.5						
Job	2	2	21.4						
		3	38.1						
		1	48.1						
	Total	2	21.2						
		3	30.8						
	1	1	72.6						
	1	2	27.4						
	2	1	57.1						
Education	2	2	42.9						
		1	66.3						
	Total	2	33.7						
	1	1	11.3						
	1	2	88.7						
	2	1	21.4						
Distance from urban markets	2	2	78.6						
	Tatal	1	15.4						
	Total	2	84.6						
	1	0	75.8						
	1	1	24.2						
Dravious purchases from urban markets	2	0	50.0						
Flevious purchases from urban markets	Ζ.	1	50.0						
	Tatal	0	65.4						
	Total	1	34.6						
	1	0	80.6						
	1	1	19.4						
Discount and being aware of it	2	0	47.6						
Discount and being aware of it	2	1	52.4						
	Total	0	67.3						
	Total	1	32.7						
	1	1	37.1						
	2	2	46.8						
	3	3	16.1						
	1	1	16.7						
Household Income Per Month	2	2	42.9						
	3	3	40.5						
		1	28.8						
	Total	2	45.2						
		3	26.0						

Fig. 1 and Table 2 provide a detailed demographic overview of the sample. The study shows a predominant representation of participants, particularly female among shoppers from other markets compared to those from urban markets. Previous research in Iran has consistently observed a higher participation rate among women, a trend that is accentuated in this study. Awareness of discounts offered at urban markets is notably low, with a significant disparity between those who prioritize shopping elsewhere and others. A substantial portion of respondents had never made purchases from urban markets, indicating a potential barrier to market engagement. Interestingly, a large majority of participants perceive urban markets as distant from their residences, reflecting a common sentiment regardless of shopping preferences.

#### The results of the C4.5 algorithm

Using the C4.5 algorithm, the general behavior of consumers in their market selection is first investigated, and then the behavior of each consumer group is examined. Before analyzing the decision tree's algorithm in Fig. 1, it is required to investigate classification accuracy using various goodness of fit tests. Table 3 shows that the fitted tree in Table 1 has satisfactory classification accuracy, properly classifying more than 87 percent of first-group buyers, 83 percent of second-group buyers, and 86 percent of all purchasers.

 Table 3- The general classification of consumers based on purchase priority from different markets using the C4.5 algorithm

	Number of observations	Results of decision tree C4.5 algorithm classification					
Consumers		Priority: p othe	ourchase from er shops	Priority: pu urbai	rchase from the 1 markets		
Priority: purchase from other shops (Group 1)	124	108 87.10%		16 12.91%			
Priority: purchase from the urban markets of the city (Group 2)	84	14 16.67%		70 83.33%			
Percentage of total correct classified observation			85.58				
Kappa Statistic			0.702				
Accuracy statistics based on class	TP rate	FP rate	Precision	Recall	Balanced F-score		
Group 1	0.871	0.167	0.885	0.871	0.878		
Group 2	0.833	0.129	0.814	0.833	0.824		
Total	0.856	0.151	0.856	0.856	0.856		

As shown in Fig. 1, the most effective socioeconomic variable on buyers' choices is their awareness of the discounts in the urban markets, and after that, gender and household income are in the following order. The next degrees of significance are given to the factors of distance from the market, previous purchases from urban markets, and age.

In the DT with the C4.5 algorithm, the first number of each leaf node<sup>1</sup> represents consumer priority in choosing the market. It takes 1 if the consumers prefer buying from other markets, and it takes 2 if they prefer buying from the urban markets. The first number in parentheses in each leaf node represents how much data exists in the represented sample, and the second number illustrates the number of errors in the classification of buyers.

According to the classification that has been done based on the C4.5 algorithm of the DT, around 40% of buyers are women who were not aware of the urban markets' price discounts,

<sup>1-</sup> Each decision tree has two kinds of nodes: internal nodes and leaf nodes. Each internal node or non-leaf node is illustrated by an attribute in a way that represents a "test" on an attribute, and there are branches equal to the

number of possible answers, and each of them shows the outcome of a test. Each leaf node also represents a class or group of answers.

were far from the urban markets, and had never purchased from these markets. These buyers will purchase from shops near their place of residence.



Figure 2- C4.5 algorithm of buyers' general behavior in choosing among different markets

According to Fig. 2, another group that makes up a high proportion of buyers is middleincome men who are unaware of discounts in the urban markets. In this group, those who work as employees choose the urban markets, while those with self-employed jobs choose other shops. Buyers who have an average income level and are aware of the discount in the urban markets do not buy from these markets if they do not have experience buying from them. However if they do have the experience, purchasing or not purchasing from the urban markets depends on other variables, such as job, gender, education, and age, which are explained in Fig. 1. Greenacre & Akbar (2019); Daoudi & Develi (2022) also stated that age and income play a significant role in influencing consumer buying behavior. The noteworthy point about this tree is how the middle-income group, as opposed to the lowincome and high-income groups, follows a more complicated algorithm from all the branches that lead to household income. policymakers Planners and of urban marketplaces should consider this since this

group makes up the majority of the population. Therefore, it is crucial to take additional factors such as age, gender, occupation, and education into account for the middle-income group. Investigating this tree also illustrates that people with a higher level of awareness regarding discounts are more likely to purchase from these markets. For a better understanding of consumer behavior, this behavior is discussed in different groups based on gender, education, and distance. Fig. 3 and 4 represent and female consumers' behavior, male respectively, and Table 4 represents the information accuracy of the DT represented in that figure. As shown in Table 4, the DT could accurately classify female consumers' purchasing patterns in 87 percent of cases, while male consumers' purchasing patterns were correctly classified in 86 percent of cases. Kol & Levy (2023); Chen et al. (2022); Savaşkan & Çatı (2021) stated that female customers are more interested in discounted products and gender difference affects the purchasing pattern. Furthermore,

Posults of decision tree C4 5 algorithm elessification												
Consumers	Number of observations		Priori	Priority: purchasing from other markets				<u>4.5 algorithm classification</u> Priority: purchasing from urban markets of city				
	Female	Male	Fem	Female Male		le	Female		Ma	ale		
Priority:			8	8	24	1	8	3	4	ŀ		
purchasing from other shops (Group 1)	96	28	91.6	91.67%		85.71%		8.33%		14.28%		
Priority:			12	2	4		4	4	24	4		
purchasing from urban markets of city (Group 2)	56 28		21.42%		14.28%		78.57%		85.71%			
			Fema	le			Male					
Percentage of total correct classified observation			86.84	%				85.719	6			
Kappa Statistic			0.71	5			0.714					
Accuracy statistic	TP r	rate	FP 1	FP rate		FP rate		Precision		Recall		nced ore
based on class	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male		
Group 1	0.917	0.857	0.214	0.143	0.880	0.857	0.917	0.857	0.898	0.857		
Group 2	0.786	0.857	0.083	0.143	0.846	0.857	0.786	0.857	0.815	0.857		
Total	0.868	0.857	0.166	0.166 0.143		0.857	0.868	0.857	0.867	0.857		

Table 4- Consumer classification based on gender and purchase priority using the C4.5 algorithm

The DT from the analysis of female consumer behavior is shown in Fig. 3. It demonstrates that for this group of consumers, awareness of the discounts is the most significant factor, and then the variables of distance from the urban markets and education are on the second level of effectiveness. The third most effective variable in influencing consumers' choices is income and previous purchases from the urban markets. In the case of the behavior analysis of male consumers, Fig. 4 illustrates that the job is the most significant variable, followed by income and previous purchases from the urban markets. Age, distance from the urban markets, and discount awareness are on the third level of effectiveness. Gomes (2018) emphasizes that education level, gender, and occupation profoundly impact individuals' shopping behavior patterns, playing pivotal roles in shaping consumer preferences, decisionmaking processes, and shopping habits across diverse demographic groups. Furthermore, Taylor et al. (2019) and Chandrakala et al. (2023) highlighted that discounts are a significant factor influencing consumer purchasing behavior. Šostar & Ristanović (2023) highlight that age, gender, and employment status are influential factors in shaping consumer behavior.

According to Fig. 3, if women are aware of the discount offered by the urban markets and have a high level of education, they purchase from the urban markets, but if they have a low level of education and a medium level of income, they will purchase from an alternative market. If planners want to draw in more consumers, they should take into account the significant impact of discount awareness on women's purchasing habits. Female consumers will not purchase from urban markets if they do not know about the discount, the distance between their place of residence and these markets is far, and they have not previously purchased from these markets. Planners must adopt and carry out remedial policies to address this problem.



Figure 3- C4.5 algorithm of female buyers' buying behavior in choosing between different alternatives of market



Figure 4- C4.5 algorithm of male buyers' buying behavior in choosing between different market alternatives

Regarding male consumers, Fig. 4 illustrates that those who are self-employed and have not previously shopped at urban markets tend to prefer other shops. However, employed men purchase from urban markets in two scenarios: first, if they have a high income and are aware of market discounts; and second, if they have a moderate income and reside near urban markets. Interestingly, they also shop at urban markets when they live far away, possess a high level of education, and are unaware of market discounts. In line with these findings, Roy *et al.* (2021) suggested that local retailers' spot discounts could enhance market potential and influence consumer preferences toward purchasing. Table 5 discusses the behavior of consumers with and without university degrees. It indicates that the C4.5 algorithm of the DT could effectively classify consumers based on socioeconomic factors and simulate consumer behavior in two groups, including those with and without a university degree, to a great extent. Fig. 5 and 6 display the classification's outcomes.

Table 5- Consumer classification based on education and purchase priority using the C4.5           Number of         Results of decision tree C4.5 algorithm class										
observ	vations	priority: purchase from other shops				nriority: nurchase from urban marke				
High <sup>1</sup>	Low	High		Low		High		Low		
111811	2011		26		86		6		4	
32	90				05 5 60		10.75%		.,	
		81.25%		95.56%		18.75%		4.44%		
		4			12		32			
36	48	1	1.11%		25.00%	88.89%	6	75.00%		
		High					Low			
		nigii					LOW			
		85.71%					88.41%			
		001/1/0					00111/0			
		0.714					0.734			
TP	rate	FP	rate	Pre	ecision	Rec	a]]	Balanced		
	IF Tate		11 Tate		I TECISION		Recall		F-score	
High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	
0.824	0.956	0.111	0.250	0.875	0.878	0.824	0.956	0.848	0.915	
0.889	0.750	0.176	0.044	0.842	0.900	0.889	0.750	0.865	0.818	
= 1 2 (4.0)	Job = 2   1(4.0)	= 3	Distance	Gende	= 1 2 (18.0/2.	Gender = 0 = = 0) 2 (2.0)		1 (4.0)		
	Num         observ         High <sup>1</sup> 32         36         TP         High         0.824         0.889         0.857	Number of observations         High <sup>1</sup> Low         32       90         36       48         TP rate         High       Low         0.824       0.956         0.889       0.750         0.857       0.884	Number of observations         priority           High <sup>1</sup> Low           32         90           36         48           1         High 85.71%           0.714         TP rate           TP rate         FP           High Low High 0.824         0.956           0.889         0.750           0.857         0.884           0.45         0.145	Number of observations         Result priority: purchas           High <sup>1</sup> Low         High 26           32         90         81.25%           36         48         11.11%           High         85.71%           0.714           TP rate           High         Low           0.714         0.714           TP rate           High         Low           0.824         0.956         0.111         0.250           0.889         0.750         0.176         0.044           0.857         0.884         0.145         0.179           Job           1(4.0)         Distance           1(4.0)         2(2.0)         1(14.02.0)	Number of observations         Results of dec priority: purchase from ot High           32         90         81.25%           36         48         4           36         48         11.11%           High           85.71%           0.714           TP rate           FP rate           Protect         11           0.714         0.714           TP rate           High         Low           0.824         0.956           0.824         0.956           0.889         0.750           0.889         0.750           Discount           Job           <	Number of observations         Results of decision tree C priority: purchase from other shops           High         Low           32         90           32         90           34         12           36         48           11.11%         25.00%           4         12           36         48           11.11%         25.00%           High         0.714           TP rate         FP rate         Precision           High         Low         High           0.714         0.714         0.714           TP rate         FP rate         Precision           High         Low         High         Low           0.824         0.956         0.111         0.250         0.875         0.878           0.889         0.750         0.176         0.044         0.842         0.900           0.857         0.884         0.145         0.179         0.858         0.885           1(4.0)         Distance         2(18.02         1 (14.02.0)         1 (14.02.0)         1 (14.02.0)	Number of observations         Results of decision tree C4.5 algorith priority: purchase from other shops         priority: priority: High           32         90 $High$ Low         High           32         90 $81.25%$ $95.56%$ $18.759$ 36         48 $12$ $32$ 36         48 $12$ $32$ 36         48 $11.11%$ $25.00%$ $88.899$ High $0.714$ $0.714$ $0.714$ TP rate         FP rate         Precision           Rec           High         Low         High $0.714$ $0.714$ $0.844$ $0.842$ $0.900$ $0.889$ $0.824$ $0.956$ $0.176$ $0.842$ $0.900$ $0.889$ $0.857$ $0.884$ $0.145$ $0.842$ $0.900$ $0.889$ $1(4.0)$ Distance $2(13.020)$ $2(2.0)$ $2(2.0)$ $2(2.0)$	Number of observations         Results of decision tree C4.5 algorithm classifi priority: purchase from other shops         priority: purchase from o	Results of decision tree C4.5 algorithm classification           priority: purchase from other shops         priority: purchase from utbar           High         Low         High         Low           32         90         81.25%         95.56%         18.75%         4.449           36         48         4         12         32         36           36         48         11.11%         25.00%         88.89%         75.00           High         Low           Number of 0.714           0.714         0.734           Other colspan="2">Bala           Number of 0.714         0.734           Other colspan="2">Other colspan="2"Other           Other colspan	

Figure 5- C4.5 algorithm of buyers' behavior in choosing different alternatives of the market who do not have a university degree

<sup>1-</sup> High means people with university degree and low means people with low degree



Figure 6- C4.5 algorithm of buyers' behavior in choosing different alternatives of the market who have a university degree

As it is shown in Fig. 5 and 6, the awareness of the urban market's discount is the most important component that influences consumers' choice among market options in both groups with and without a university degree.

In the group of consumers without university degrees, the second most essential criterion for both consumers with and without awareness of urban markets' discounts is their income. They are less likely to purchase from the urban markets if they are unaware of discounts and have low incomes. This is also true for consumers with a medium level of income who live far from the urban markets. Finally, since the distance from the urban markets is one of the important factors influencing consumer behavior, planners in this area can affect consumers' choices by selecting the right site.

Fig. 7, illustrates socio-economic variables that are effective on the behavior of consumers at a far and close distance from the urban markets. Prior to that, Table 6 provides information regarding different statistics that must be used to assess the accuracy of fitted trees. The DT correctly classified 82% of the consumers. Accuracy statistics based on class also illustrate the appropriate accuracy of the represented DT. Zulqarnain *et al.* (2015) also identified distance as a critical factor influencing consumers' choice of retail stores for grocery shopping. Terano *et al.* (2015), Yildirim *et al.* (2015) stated that significant differences in customer preferences based on age, gender, and education level.

As shown in Fig. 7 and 8, the consumer's decision to shop at the urban markets first depends on the discount offered at these markets and the consumers' awareness of that. One of the most crucial paths that planners should take into account is the path of women who are not aware of urban markets' discounts, live far away from the urban markets, and have never made purchases there before. These characteristics have prevented these individuals from choosing the urban markets over other markets. However, males living a far distance from the urban markets with a medium level of income who are not aware of discounts will buy from the urban markets if they have university degrees; otherwise, they will purchase from other shops. Therefore, the implementation of a specific marketing strategy for these groups can

Table 6-Consumer classification based on distance from the urban markets and purchase priority using C4.5 algorithm												
	Number of		Results of decision tree C4.5 algorithm classification									
Consumers	observ	vations	Priority: purchase from other shops			Priority: purchase from urban markets						
	Close	Far	Close			Far			Far			
Priority: purchase from			_	12		98			12			
other markets (Group 1)	14	110	85.71%		89.09%		14.28%		10.90%			
Priority: purchase from			4			18		14		48		
urban markets (Group 2)	18	66	22.23%		27.27%		77.77%		72.73%			
			Close					Far				
Percentage of total correct classified observation			81.25%					82.95%				
Kappa Statistic			0.625				0.630					
Accuracy statistic based	TP	rate	FP rate		FP rate		Pre	cision	Recall		Balanced F-score	
on class	Close	Far	Close	Far	Close	Far	Close	Far	Close	Far		
Group 1	0.857	0.891	0.222	0.273	0.750	0.845	0.857	0.891	0.800	0.867		
Group 2	0.778	0.727	0.143	0.109	0.875	0.800	0.778	0.727	0.824	0.762		
Total	0.813	0.830	0.178	0.211	0.820	0.828	0.813	0.830	0.813	0.828		

lead to an increase in their purchases from these markets.



Figure 7- C4.5 algorithm of buyers' behavior with far distance from urban markets



Figure 8- C4.5 algorithm of buyers' behavior at close distance from urban markets

Another considerable point about the distance from the urban markets is the fact that for those with a close distance from the urban markets, their choice between the urban markets and other shops only depends on age. Those who are less than 40 years old prefer urban markets, while others do not. Makgosa & Sangodoyin (2017) highlighted age as a critical determinant of consumers' store preferences. They categorized younger shoppers as recreational quality seekers and older shoppers as novelty–quality seekers.

### **Conclusion and Recommendation**

This study used the C4.5 algorithm, one of the most accurate decision tree algorithms, to survey consumers and model their decision processes. In accordance with previous studies. this study demonstrates that C4.5 is a helpful tool for creating a hierarchical decision support model. It provides a simple schematic diagram of the consumers' decision-making process. This approach allows for the statistical testing of model validation. This enables the model's reliability to be taken into account. This study's decision tree has acceptable reliability. The findings highlight that consumer behavior is predominantly influenced by awareness of discounts, aligning closely with Alsini et al.'s (2023) findings on the impact of promotional campaigns on grocery shopping in Saudi Arabia. Discounts play a significant role in retail dynamics by boosting sales and enhancing customer satisfaction but also present challenges such as reduced profit margins and increased price sensitivity. Less than a third of consumers are aware of available discounts, suggesting deficiencies in current marketing strategies and the need for reassessment. Hecht et al. (2020) emphasized the importance of regularly reviewing marketing tactics to inform consumers about discounts effectively. In addition, Noor (2020) emphasized the significant impact of discounts on consumer buying behavior. Büyükdağ et al. (2020) also investigated the varying effects of discounts on men and women, highlighting that gender differences can influence how

consumers respond to promotional offers.

The study also revealed that the majority of consumers in these markets have advanced degrees, likely due to policies targeting educated individuals or a lack of advertising that has inadvertently attracted this demographic. Conversely, those with lower education levels are less inclined to shop at these markets, likely due to factors such as income disparity, limited exposure to marketing, and less familiarity with urban retail markets. Hanaysha (2018) confirms that income is a key factor influencing purchase decisions. Kumar (2018) emphasized that comprehensive product offerings, convenience, and parking facilities contribute to the preference for urban retail markets. Current policies favoring high-income consumers highlight the need for equitable policy reviews to broaden market accessibility. Customer retention strategies, such as periodic discounts or conditional sales, can significantly influence subsequent consumer behavior and retention. In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into consumer behavior within urban retail markets but acknowledges its limitations. Future research should explore comprehensive socio-demographic datasets, additional variables, and the long-term impact of marketing interventions. Based on these following suggestions findings. the are presented for policy-making and implementation:

- 1. Enhanced Promotional Campaigns: Enhanced promotional campaigns can effectively utilize social media and online advertising to raise awareness about ongoing discounts and special offers.
- 2. Education-oriented strategies: Collaborate with community centers and educational institutions to host workshops informing consumers about the benefits of urban retail markets. Furthermore, simplify access to discount details and product offerings through user-friendly apps or strategically placed informative brochures across the city.
- 3. Loyalty Programs: Introduce a loyalty

program that offers points or discounts to repeat customers, along with exclusive promotions, to attract more consumers to enroll and actively engage in the market.

- 4. Collaborations with Local Producers: Strengthen partnerships with local producers to ensure a consistent supply of high-quality products. Highlight these partnerships in marketing campaigns to emphasize the support for local businesses and fresh, local produce.
- 5. Inclusive Marketing Policies: Implement pricing strategies that cater to diverse income levels. Consider introducing tiered pricing or discount days tailored for low-

income families to enhance affordability. Additionally, collaborate with community leaders and organizations to gain insights into the preferences of lower-income and less-educated consumers. Use this feedback to inform and adjust marketing and operational strategies accordingly.

By adopting these strategies, urban retail markets in Mashhad can enhance their appeal, attract a more diverse consumer base, and ensure sustainable growth. These efforts will not only boost consumer welfare but also support local producers and contribute to the overall economic development of the city.

# References

- Alsini, N., Kutbi, H.A., Hakim, N., Mosli, R., Eid, N., & Mulla, Z. (2023). Factors influencing grocery shopping choices and the prevalence of food label use among Saudi mothers: a crosssectional pilot study. *Nutrition & Food Science*, 53(2), 432-444. https://doi.org/10.1108/NFS-11-2021-0345
- 2. Applebaum, W. (1951). Studying customer behavior in retail stores. *Journal Market*, 172–178. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224295101600204
- 3. Barh, D. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Precision Health: From Concept to Applications. Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817133-2.09988-2
- 4. Berry, M.A., & Linoff, G.S. (2000). Mastering data mining: The art and science of customer relationship management. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*. https://doi.org/10.1108/imds. 2000.100.5.245.2
- 5. Bhatti, K.L., Latif, S., & Latif, R. (2015). Factors affecting consumer's store choice behavior. *International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering & Management* (*IJAIEM*), 4(9), 71-77.
- 6. Büyükdağ, N., Soysal, A.N., & Kitapci, O. (2020). The effect of specific discount pattern in terms of price promotions on perceived price attractiveness and purchase intention: An experimental research. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 55, 102112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102112
- 7. Chandrakala, V.G., Sowmya, C.U., & Nagesha, H.G. (2023). A study on factors influencing the consumer buying behavior with reference to organized apparel retail outlets. *Journal of Innovations in Business and Industry*, 1(2), 85-92. https://doi.org/10.61552/JIBI.2023.02.005
- 8. Chen, T., Samaranayake, P., Cen, X., Qi, M., & Lan, Y. (2022). The impact of online reviews on consumers' purchasing decisions: Evidence from an Eye-Tracking study. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*, 865702. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.865702
- 9. Cherfi, A., Nouira, K., & Ferchichi, A. (2018). Very fast C4.5 decision tree algorithm. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 32(2), 119-137. https://doi.org/10.1080/08839514.2018.1447479
- 10. Cohen, J. (1960). A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 20(1), 37-46. https://doi.org/10.1177/001316446002000104
- 11. Daoudi, H., & İldem Develi, E. (2023). Impact of gender and monthly income on consumer buying behavior. *Journal of International Trade, Logistics and Law, 9*(1), 241-250.
- 12. Dev, V.A., & Eden, M.R. (2019). Gradient boosted decision trees for lithology classification. In Computer Aided Chemical Engineering, 47, 113-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818597-

1.50019-9

- Dominici, A., Boncinelli, F., Gerini, F., & Marone, E. (2021). Determinants of online food purchasing: The impact of socio-demographic and situational factors. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102473
- Donoghue, S., Wilken-Jonker, I., Steffens, F.E., & Kirsten, J.F. (2021). South African consumers' willingness to pay a premium for Karoo Lamb: The influence of subjective and objective knowledge, label information and demographics. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102664
- Gauri, D.K., Jindal, R.P., Ratchford, B., Fox, E., Bhatnagar, A., Pandey, A., & Howerton, E. (2021). Evolution of retail formats: Past, present, and future. *Journal of Retailing*, 97(1), 42-61. ISSN 0022-4359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2020.11.002
- 16. Golriz Ziaie, Z., Moghaddasi, R., & Yazdani, S. (2015). Estimation of customer satisfaction index of food markets, Case study: Mashhad Urbanity's Hypermarkets. *Journal of Agricultural Economics and Development*, 29(2), 181-191. ISSN 2008-4722. https://doi.org/10.22067/JEAD2.V0I0.40763
- 17. Gomes, A. (2018). Influencing factors of consumer behavior in retail shops available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3151879 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3151879
- Greenacre, L., & Akbar, S. (2019). The impact of payment method on shopping behavior among low income consumers. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 47, 87-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2018.11.004
- 19. Han, J., Kamber, M., & Pei, J. (2022). Data mining: concepts and techniques, Morgan Kaufmann. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-381479-1.00020-4
- Hanaysha, J. (2018). An examination of the factors affecting consumer's purchase decision in the Malaysian retail market. *PSU Research Review*, 2(1), 7-23. https://doi.org/10.1108/PRR-08-2017-0034
- 21. Hecht, A.A., Perez, C.L., Polascek, M., Thorndike, A.N., Franckle, R.L., & Moran, A.J. (2020). Influence of food and beverage companies on retailer marketing strategies and consumer behavior. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(20), 7381. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17207381
- 22. Hingley, M., Lindgreen, A., & Chen, L. (2009). Development of the grocery retail market in China: A qualitative study of how foreign and domestic retailers seek to increase market share. *British Food Journal*, *111*(1), 44-55. https://doi.org/10.1108/00070700910924227
- 23. Jofreh, M. (2013). An investigation of business activities in Iran retailing industry. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology* 6(5), 858-861.
- 24. Kol, O., & Levy, S. (2023). Men on a mission, women on a journey Gender differences in consumer information search behavior via SNS: The perceived value perspective. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 75, 103476. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2023.103476
- 25. Kotsiantis, S.B. (2013). Decision trees: a recent overview. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 39(4), 261-283. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-011-9272-4
- 26. Kotu, V., & Deshpande, B. (2018), Chapter 4 Classification, Data science: concepts and practice (Second Edition), Morgan Kaufmann, 65-163.
- 27. Kumar, D. (2018). Factors influencing consumers' choice of retail store format in Assam, India. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 1(17), 22-37.
- Kumar, M.G., Hemanth, K., Gangadhar, N., Kumar, H., & Krishna, P. (2014). Fault diagnosis of welded joints through vibration signals using Naïve Bayes algorithm. *Procedia Materials Science*, 5, 1922-1928. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mspro.2014.07.514
- 29. Laine, K. (2014). The factors influencing the choice of grocery store among finnish consumers. Business. ttps://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:amk-201405096933
- 30. Lintang, M., Pandiangan, N., & Hyronimus, D. (2022). Use of the C4.5 Algorithm to Analyze Student Interest in Continuing to College. SHS Web of Conferences.

- Liyanage, L., PLGSD, P., & Rathnayake, T. (2020). Determinants of consumers' selection of supermarkets for grocery shopping; Empirical Evidence from Western Province, Sri Lanka. In International Conference on Marketing Management.
- 32. Makgosa, R., & Sangodoyin, O. (2017). Retail market segmentation: the use of consumer decision-making styles, overall satisfaction and demographics. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 28(1), 64–91. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593969. 2017.1334690
- Manuere, F. (2023). Factors affecting customers' choice of supermarkets for grocery shopping in Chinhoyi Town. International Journal of Academic Research in Public Policy and Governance, 9(1), 10–20
- 34. McHugh, M.L. (2012), Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. *Biochemia Medica*, 22(3), 276-282.
- 35. Meng, X., Zhang, P., Xu, Y., & Xie, H. (2020). Construction of decision tree based on C4.5 algorithms for online voltage stability assessment. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 118, 105793. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2019.105793
- 36. Moitra, A.K., Bhattacharya, J., Kayal, J.R., Mukerji, B., & Das, A.K. (2021). Innovative exploration methods for minerals, oil, gas, and groundwater for sustainable development. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2020-0-00590-6
- 37. Nguyen, T.D.E. (2019). Factors affecting customer loyalty of different strategic groups in the Vietnamese supermarket sector (Doctoral dissertation, University of hull).
- Nisbet, R., Miner, G.D., & Yale, K. (2018). Chapter 9 Classification, Nisbet, R., Miner, G. D., & Yale, K., Handbook of statistical analysis and data mining applications. 169-186 in Academic press.
- 39. Noor, Z. (2020). The effect of price discount and in-store display on impulse buying. *Journal Ilmu-ilmu Sosial dan Humaniora*. 22(2), 133-139. https://doi.org/10.24198/sosiohumaniora.v22i2.26720
- 40. Ooi, M.P., Sok, H.K., Kuang, Y.C., & Demidenko, S. (2017). Alternating decision trees. In P. Samui, S. S. Roy, & V. E. Balas (Eds.), Handbook of Neural Computation. PP 345-371 in Academic Press.
- 41. Pandey, A., & Kaur, D.A. (2018). A comprehensive study on evolution, present scenario and future prospects of retailing. *International Journal of Current Research in Life Sciences*, 7(2), 1158-1162.
- 42. Quinlan, J.R. (1996). Improved use of continuous attributes in C4.5. Journal of Artificial Research, 4, 77–90. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.cs/9603103
- 43. Quinlan, J.R. (2014). C4.5: Programs for Machine Learning. 58-60. https://books.google.com/books/about/C4\_5.html?id=b3ujBQAAQBAJ
- 44. Rahim, M.A., Mushafiq, M., Khan, S., & Arain, Z.A. (2021). RFM-based repurchase behavior for customer classification and segmentation. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102566
- 45. Ram, S. (2022). Data Mining. Computer Sciences. from Encyclopedia.com: https://www.encyclopedia.com/computing/news-wires-white-papers-and-books/data-mining
- 46. Rasheed, A., Shahid Yaqub, R., & Baig, F. (2018). Factors affecting impulse buying behaviors in shopping malls: Evidence from Bahawalpur Region, Pakistan. *Journal of Marketing and Consumer Research.* 39.
- 47. Razu, M.A., & Roy, D. (2019). Prominent factors influencing consumers' choice of retail store. Journal of Business and Management, 21(6), 62-66. https://doi.org/10.9790/487X-2106026266
- 48. Reddy, G.S., & Chittineni, S. (2021). Entropy based C4.5-SHO algorithm with information gain optimization in data mining. *Peer Journal Computer Science*, 7. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.424

- Roy, G., Debnath, R., & Mitra, P.S. (2021). Analytical study of low-income consumers' purchase behaviour for developing marketing strategy. *International Journal Syst Assur Engineering Management*, 12, 895–909. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-021-01143-6
- 50. Savaşkan, A., & Çatı, K. (2021). Investigation of consumer behavior in market shopping in the gender context. *Elektronik Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 20(77), 255-272. https://doi.org/10.17755/esosder.767017
- 51. Shamsher, R. (2016). Store image and its impact on consumer behavior. *Elk Asia Pacific Journal* of Marketing and Retail Management, 7, (2), 1-27. ISSN 0976-7193. https://www.researchgate.net/project/STORE-IMAGE-AND-ITS-IMPACT-ON-CONSUMER-BEHAVIOR
- 52. Šostar, M., & Ristanović, V. (2022). Assessment of influencing factors on consumer behavior using the AHP model. *Sustainability*, *15*(13), 10341. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310341
- Sugumaran, V., & Ramachandran, K.I. (2007). Automatic rule learning using decision tree for fuzzy classifier in fault diagnosis of roller bearing. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 21, 2237–2247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2006.09.007
- Sun, K., Likhate, S., Vittal, V., Kolluri, V.S., & Mandal, S. (2007). An online dynamic security assessment scheme using phasor measurements and decision trees. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 22(4), 1935-1943. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2007.908476
- 55. Taylor, M.J., Kwasnica, V., Reilly, D., & Ravindran, S. (2019). Game theory modelling of retail marketing discount strategies. *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, *37*(5), 555-566. ISSN 0263-4503.
- 56. Terano, R., Yahya, R., Mohamed, Z., & Saimin S. (2015). Factor influencing consumer choice between modern and traditional retailers in Malaysia. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 5(6). https://doi.org/10.7763/IJSSH.2015.V5.509
- 57. Thakur, P., Mehta, P., Devi, C., Sharma, P., Singh, K.K., Yadav, S., Lal, P., Raghav, Y.S., Kapoor, P., & Mishra, P. (2023). Marketing performance and factors influencing farmers choice for agricultural output marketing channels: The case of garden pea (*Pisum sativum*) in India. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 7, 1270121. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2023.1270121
- 58. Tian, X., Cao, S., & Song, Y. (2021). The impact of weather on consumer behavior and retail performance: Evidence from a convenience store chain in China. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102583
- 59. Veeck, A., & Veeck, G. (2000). Consumer segmentation and changing food purchase patterns in Nanjing", PRC. World Development, 28(3), 457-471. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(99)00135-7
- 60. Vindigni, G., Peri, I., Consentino, F., Selvaggi, R., & Spina, D. (2022). Exploring consumers attitudes towards food products derived by new plant breeding techniques. *Sustainability*, *14*(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/su14105995
- 61. Walkinshaw, N. (2013). Reverse-engineering software behavior, Memon, A., Advances in Computers PP 1-58 in Elsevier.
- 62. Yildirim, K., Cagatay, K., & Hidayetoğlu, M.L. (2015). The effect of age, gender and education level on customer evaluations of retail furniture store atmospheric attributes. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 43(8), 712-726. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-01-2013-0034
- 63. Zaki, M.J., & Meira, W. (2020). *Data mining and machine learning: Fundamental concepts and algorithms*. Cambridge University Press.
- 64. Zulqarnain, H., Zafar, A.U., & Shahzad, M. (2015). Factors that affect the choice of consumers in selecting retail store, for grocery shopping. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary and Current Research*, 3(1), 1167-1172S. http://ijmcr.com



https://jead.um.ac.ir



مقاله پژوهشی جلد ۳۸، شماره ۴، زمستان، ۱۴۰۳، ص. ۳۷۰–۳۵۱

رویکرد داده کاوی برای انتخاب بازار خردهفروشی مصرفکنندگان: مطالعه موردی بازارهای خردهفروشی شهری در ایران

هومن هاشمزاده ۱- نازنین یوسفیان ۲- ساسان اسفندیاری بهر آسمان ۲- علی رضا کرباسی <sup>۱</sup>۳۰۰ - علی فیروززارع<sup>1\*\*</sup> تاریخ دریافت: ۱۴۰۳/۰۲/۳۱ تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۴۰۳/۰۴/۱۸

# چکیدہ

بازارهای خردهفروشی شهری، بازارهای خردهفروشی دولتی هستند که اخیراً در ایران با هدف افزایش رفاه مصرف کنندگان و تولید کنندگان تأسیس شدهاند. برای دستیابی به این هدف و گسترش حضور در بخش خردهفروشی شهری، درک جامعی از رفتار مصرف کننده در این بازارها ضروری است. این مطالعه با استفاده از الگوریتم C4.5 به بررسی عوامل مختلف اجتماعی–اقتصادی مؤثر بر تصمیم گیری مصرف کنندگان در بازارهای خردهفروشی پرداخته است. دادهها از طریق نظرسنجی از ۱۸۹ مصرف کننده با استفاده از روش نمونه گیری تصادفی در شهر مشهد در سالهای ۱۳۹۸ و ۱۳۹۹ جمع آوری شد. نتایج نشان داد که آگاهی از تخفیفهای موجود به طور قابل توجهی انتخاب مصرف کننده را در بازارهای خردهفروشی پرداخته این حال، علی رغم تخفیفهای موجود، آگاهی در میان مصرف کنندگان پایین است که نیاز به بازنگری در استراتژیهای تبلیغاتی می باشد. همچنین نتایج نشان داد که آگاهی از تخفیفهای موجود به طور قابل توجهی انتخاب مصرف کننده را در بازارهای خردهفروشی شهری تحت تأثیر قرار می دهد. با این حال، علی رغم تخفیفهای موجود، آگاهی در میان مصرف کنندگان پایین است که نیاز به بازنگری در استراتژیهای تبلیغاتی می باشد. همچنین نتایج نشان داد تجربه خرید از بازارهای شهری، درآمد خانوار و تحصیلات از جمله عوامل تأثیرگذار بر انتخاب مصرف کننده می می شد. می تواند بینش های ارزشمندی برای سیاست گذاران و سهامداران فراهم آورد که در پی افزایش اثربخشی بازارهای خردهفروشی محلی در ایران هستند. علاوه بر این، با بهره گیری از این بینشها در زمینه رفتار مصرف کننده و پویایی بازار، این بازارها می توانند رونق گرفته و نقش بسـزایی در بهبود بخش غردهفروشی و اقتصاد ایران ایفا کنند. در این راستا، توصیه هایی مانند کمپینهای تبلیغاتی، استراتژیهای آموزش محور، همکاری با تولیدکنندگان محلی و سیاستهای بازاریابی فراگیر با هدف بهبود دسترسی همه مصرف کنندگان به بازارهای خردفورشی مورش مورش محاری با تولیدکندگان محلی و سیاستهای بازاریابی فراگیر با هدف بهبود دسترسی همه مصرف کنندگان به بازارهای خردهفروشی شهری ارائه گردید.

واژههای کلیدی: داده کاوی، درخت تصمیم، رفتار مصرف کننده، یادگیری ماشین

۱، ۲، ۳ و ۴- بهترتیب دانشجوی کارشناسی ارشد دانشجوی دکتری استاد و استادیار، گروه اقتصاد کشاورزی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه فردوسی مشهد، مشهد، ایران (\*- نویسنده مسئول: Email: firooz@um.ac.ir)